WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date:_(6/12/23 Inspector Kyl-e	B	tekstron	٦
Time:	10:00 An Weather Conditions: Sonn	7 7:	5°	-tipe while the wife of the market of
unusinenimainusi	University of the contraction of	Yes	No	Notes
	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	-	λ	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))		Marie Carlos Car
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	mindianniaum.	×	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		χ	The second secon
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		27 July 1921 1921 1921 1921 1921 1921 1921 192
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	Activities the second s

Additional Notes:	and the contraction of the contr
And the second s	
the second of th	and the second s